

Stephen Edmund, Benjamin Edmund, David Glynn, Peter Edmund
 & Charles Edmund, James Glynn, Lemuel Nicholas, William
 Briggs and rely on wife, Mary Robt, Evan Glynn, and
 Mary Ann his wife formerly Mary Ann Wells - complainants
 against

John Nicholas Executor of Samuel Edmund and Deft

In Chancery

This cause was this day decided by consent of parties heard on the bills
 answer and arguments of counsel whereupon it is ordered and decreed that Samuel
 Edmund Thomas Vaughan Senr, William Blount Benjamin Wells and John Nicholas
 or any three of them after the 1st day of the present month (December) with
 the assistance of the county Surveyor lay off by proper notes and bounds the lands
 due grant null whereof Samuel Edmund says deces, are proposed into six equal
 parts and assign one part to Stephen Edmund, one to David Glynn, one
 to Peter Edmund, one to Benjamin Edmund, one to John Nicholas, and the
 remaining eighth to be delivered over to the Executor of Samuel Edmund and subject
 to the claims of those who may be entitled to the portion due to said Edmund
 who was before the testator and that they do divide the negro whereof the said
 Samuel also proposed except such as were given absolutely to his wife into twelve
 equal parts and that they do assign one equal part to each of the couplets
 one equal part to the respondent John Nicholas and that they deliver the
 remaining twelfth part to the Executor of Samuel Edmund and to be subject
 to the claims of those who may be entitled to the portion of the said slave
 under to said Edmund, who was before the testator and make report in
 view to a final decree.

John Nicholas
 against

James Britt

John Nicholas & James Britt Deft
 Upon the decree of a writ of Habeas Corpus issued out of this court in
 the plaintiff against the respondent James Britt and Henry
 Garner -

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and appearing by the attor-
 ney of Lemuel Glynn (cousin of James Britt) shewing that the respondent have
 had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not -
 Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff may have